Quantum Effectiveness Revealed by Quantitative Electroencephalogram (QEEG) as Applied in Academics, Corporate Consulting and Everyday Life

Jeffrey L. FANNIN, Ph.D. Center for Cognitive Enhancement 5681 W. Beverly Lane – Suite 102 Glendale, AZ 85306 (602) 548-9092

ABSTRACT

This paper presents research data that demonstrates changes in neuronal patterns to achieve optimally balanced brain performance. The optimally balanced brain state is applied in academics, consulting and business coaching to change subconscious belief patterns that tend to minimize effective thought and behavior. This kind of research might well be very important and useful in processes oriented toward integrating academic, consulting, business coaching activities, and similar processes. It may also be significant in finding ways of integrating research and education. The author based his conclusion on the documentation of one hundred twenty-five cases where data was gathered over a 12 month period, in three different locations, with different EEG technicians, using two different types of EEG equipment; the pvalue is $\leq =0.010$. Consequently the conclusions are well supported. The creation of the essential neuropathways, indicated by the author might certainly help in processes oriented to integrate academic informing in its three main components (research, education and consulting), as well as in improving the performance of each of these three activities.

Keywords: QEEG, Quantum, PSYCH-K[®], Thought, Brain Mapping, Subconscious, Belief Patterns

1. INTRODUCTION

Philosophers have pondered the mind/body problem for centuries. Words like *mind, consciousness, thought, intelligence* and *awareness* get bantered around in an effort to begin to put some understanding and relationship to the mind/body conundrum. These words are commonly used in a variety of contexts, trying to define the words, or provide a variety of

interpretations to what the relationship means. It is more important that the neuroscience jargon be kept to a minimum, and facilitate communication offering greater understanding of what is happening in the process or the experience being measured with our scientific tools. The first consideration should be the anatomical and functional process of consciousness. We begin our understanding by distinguishing between the operation of consciousness and the content of consciousness [1]. The general operation of consciousness (GOC) is characterized by longer time intervals and relatively time invariant processes such as sleep, wakefulness, arousal, and coma. The GOC is a relatively tonic state, with slow adjustments of the levels of arousal (i.e., on the order of minutes and hours), such as changes in levels of awareness and/or drowsiness and are mediated by reticular-limbic and thalamic excitatory control systems. In contrast, the content of consciousness (COQ) is defined as the momentary collection of sensations and thoughts that we would call the "present moment," which is temporally and hierarchically nested within the GOC and is mediated by the coherent activation of large ensembles of neurons.

William James referred to the content of consciousness as the *specious present*, which he defined as that "interval of time (about 1 to 7 seconds) when an event that belonged to the present is distinctly perceived as the past" [2]. A finer temporal grain of the content of consciousness is provided by the psychophysical experiments of Efron [3], [3a] that demonstrated an approximately 20- to 200-ms *perceptual frame* in which nearly simultaneous events were integrated into a perceptual whole event [4], [4a].

This work was reviewed and summarized in a publication entitled, *Functional Landscapes of the Brain: An Electrotopographic Perspective*, in which the results of both spatial principal components analysis (SPCA) and temporal principal component analyses

with Varimax rotation (TPCVA) analyses were presented in a series of experiments [5], [5a]. Grossberg and Somers did mathematical and simulation studies by demonstrating that zero phase locking between connected networks occurs whenever two conditions are met: (a) there is strong coupling and (b) there is some form of delay (or inhibition) involved in the coupling between networks [6]. Other studies have postulated a specific role of feedback and feedforward inhibition in the creation of synchronized zero phase locked neural activity [7] [7a]. Thus, strong coupling and delays appear to be necessary. Studies completed at the National Institutes of Health involved the neuronal mechanisms by which human voluntary movements occur using multimodal registration of EEG, MRI, and PET. EEG coherence and phase measures were obtained, before and after finger movements, and specific patterns of neuronal activation were observed to correlate with the allocation of resources that underlay the finger movements. A neural network switching model was developed in which loops of cells behaved similar to spinning tops or gyroscopes. The justification for this view was standard neural network models that involve nearly instantaneous switching dynamics that could not explain the computation of strong second derivatives involved in the dynamics of neuronal switching, and essential elements in the establishment of zero phase lag coherent neural activity. However, precisely which brain regions (e.g., thalamus, reticular formation, limbic cortex, etc) control the spatial and temporal distribution of inhibitory and excitatory drives at a given moment of time, is very complicated, and likely task specific [8] [8a].

Cognitive physiology has been the prevailing view in neuroscience since William James (1890). Many scientific perspectives consider consciousness to be the result of sensory input brought into the brain by different sensory afferents; the very function becomes the basis for cognition [9]. Some have looked at cognition as an intrinsic functional state of the brain. It has been proposed that consciousness is an oneiric-like internal functional state modulated, rather than generated by the senses [9a]. As a child we may remember the sound of a curtain fluttering in the dark and how it could evoke worrisome images that were immediately dispelled when the lights were turned on. Understanding that the internal events we perceive as thinking, imagining or remembering are related to an intrinsic activity. A large percentage of the connectivity in the brain is recurrent and that much of its activity is related to intrinsic connectivity not necessarily related to the immediacy of sensory input.

We are aware that when we are very tired, we fall asleep very quickly, and while asleep an extraordinary strong stimulus is given us (i.e. an alarm clock), we can then awaken remarkably fast. Understanding that the substrate capable of supporting the speed of these two events must be electrical in nature, in the sense of the electrical activity of neurons and the synaptic input that initiate or terminate such activity. This would bring into question, what is the basic difference between being awake and being asleep? This question would provide the fundamental clues as to the nature of consciousness.

Being asleep does not support the feeling of selfexistence. We might also equate consciousness with cortical function almost on an exclusive basis. One additional assumption related to consciousness is that it is a global function state of the brain relating to more cortical activity. Perhaps the energy measured in the brain relating to consciousness and the creation of a unified brain function may be both resonant and have properties of oscillation.

2. THALAMOCORTICAL GAMMA-BAND RESONANT COLUMNS

In recent years, studies have shown that coherent electrical activity in the cortex is relevant to the function of resonance when considering its relationship to cognitive thought [10]. This work proposed that coherent events occur at the cortical level, and such cortical events are the primary binding substrate [11]. Other experimental results illustrate that the *binding* event must not be cortical but rather thalamocortical [12]. The use of magnetoencephalography (MEG) in humans and extracellular and intracellular recordings in cats in vivo, indicate that such activity is supported by resonance between thalamic and cortical structures at gamma-band frequencies (i.e. with frequencies between 20 and 50 Hz), and are often centered close to 40 Hz [13]. The results of this study favored the hypothesis that cognitive events depend on activity involving thalamocortical resonant columns. These neuronal mechanisms are responsible for high-frequency thalamic oscillations that support the synchronization of thalamocortical structures and its relationship to coherence.

In physics, **coherence** is a property of waves that enables stationary (i.e. temporally and spatially constant) interference. More generally, coherence describes all properties of the correlation between physical quantities of a wave.

When interfering, two waves can add together to create a wave of greater amplitude than either one

(constructive interference, see Figure 1) or subtract from each other to create a wave of lesser amplitude than either one (destructive interference, see Figure 2), depending on their relative phase. Two waves are said to be coherent if they have a constant relative phase. The degree of coherence is measured by the interference visibility, a measure of how perfectly the waves can cancel due to destructive interference. Cancellation is virtual or local since a wave cannot have negative energy.

Figure 1 Constructive Interference

Constructive Interference as seen in Figure 1, for example, would be like two sets of ripples moving across the surface of water toward each other. Both wave A and B are moving toward each other with their ripples in phase, in this case both waves are leading with their negative amplitude. Their cycle patterns are aligned. The waves merge together at the interface where two ripples meet. The consequences of this merger, the waves are drawn with one above the other as seen in middle depiction "B." The amplitude of A is +1, the amplitude of B is also +1. Add the two together, and the resulting amplitude of the composite wave, seen in depiction "C" is +2. Likewise, where A is -1 so is B, together the total amplitude will be -2. The resulting higher amplitude composite is seen in depiction "C."

Destructive Interference, as seen in Figure 2, for example, the ripples derived from the first pebble, labeled as wave A in depiction B, are moving from left to right. Wave B in depiction B, moving right to left, represents the ripples from a second pebble dropped shortly after the first. Since the pebbles did not enter the water at the same time, the waves will not be aligned when they merge at the interface, they will be "out of phase." Wave A is leading with a negative amplitude and Wave B is leading with a positive amplitude. Where they meet the waves are a mirror image of each other, the high amplitude (+1) of one wave is aligned with the low amplitude (-1) of the other, and vice versa. As shown in depiction C, the amplitude values of each wave cancel each other out, so that the composite wave having 0 amplitude is no wave at all [40].

Figure 2 Destructive Interference

3. OSCILATORY PROPERTIES OF THALAMIC CELLS

Nearly twenty years ago it was suggested that there is an intrinsic neuronal element with the oscillatory or resonant properties related to a neuronal network that facilitates the occurrence of coherence between interconnected elements [14]. Llinas explains that the intrinsic electrical properties of thalamic neurons support high-frequency (20-50 Hz) subthreshold oscillations when thalamic neurons are depolarized beyond -45mV [15]. Previous studies in vivo describe oscillations in both relay and reticular thalamic neurons [16]. In their work, the relationship between electrophysiological properties were not fully understood. Understanding the basis of the dendrite conductance is a key issue for fast oscillation in thalamocortical cells. They depend on the activation of voltage-dependent calcium conductance [17]. This demonstrates that neurons exhibit active membrane oscillations and are part of intrinsic oscillation properties of the thalamic cells. These findings are in accordance with previous work reporting that thalamic cells display highly rhythmic activity in the gamma range of frequencies [18].

Active dendritic oscillations are functionally significant, in that they return input from the cortex to thalamic neurons providing a unique opportunity for resonance between intrinsic dendritic oscillation and rhythmic synaptic inputs [19]. We can conclude from this that coherence of fast rhythms in thalamocortical loops might depend on the patterns of synaptic inputs and on the responsive state of the neurons.

When considering the neuronal circuit oscillating in the Gamma band (40-60 Hz), the neurons in specific thalamic nuclei establish cortical resonance by direct activation of pyramidal cells and feedforward inhibition through the activation of 40 Hz inhibitory interneurons in layer IV. These oscillations re-enter the thalamus via layer VI pyramidal cell axon collaterals, producing thalamic feedback inhibition via the reticular nucleus [20]. In layer V, pyramidal cells return oscillations to the interthalaminar nuclei in the gamma-band and are capable of recursive activation [21]. It is understood that neither of these two circuits alone can generate cognition. This would offer the notion that there is a specific organization of the thalamocortical system that when it receives special input activity, resonation occurs. After optimal activation occurs in the thalamocortical loop, then oscillation in the gammaband is easily recognized over the cortex by its oscillation characteristics. Thereby, causing the sites to peak and become a cognitive component that is capable of optimal activity.

The body of work preceding the study presented here suggests that there are several different rhythmic and oscillatory functions that travel between the thalamus and the cortex. They function on the basis of temporal coherence and the simultaneity of neuronal firing. In turn, the neuronal firing is based on the passive and active dendritic conduction, and would provide a mechanism for global binding. Such a system would provide the content that relates to the external world, and the non-specific system would give rise to a context that is more concerned with alertness. Together they would generate a single cognitive experience [22].

4. THE ISSUE OF ZERO PHASE LOCKING

E. Roy John presents information related to zero phase locking of coherent neural activity during perception and memory that is of great significance to understanding the brain's ability to provide for optimal performance, and what I would characterize as the whole-brain state [23] what is especially unique and important is the finding of five spatial principal components (SPCs) capable of accounting for 90% of the variance of scalp voltage patterns that reflect coherent activation of large ensembles of neurons. The fact that the SPCs were similar during different cognitive tasks and in different subjects suggests fundamental subsets of organized neural resources that are common to all people performing these tasks. This suggests further that these SPCs reflect a binding process that brings together spatially distributed fragments of the past to evaluate and experience the immediate present in a brain state [24].

Oschman says that atoms are measured by their vibration, while in constant motion. They create wave patterns similar to the expanding ripples from pebbles thrown into a pool of water. Each atom is unique because the distribution of its negative and positive charges coupled with its spin rate, generates a specific frequency pattern [25]. Bruce H. Lipton adds an important point to the phase locking issue with consideration of *destructive* and constructive interference patterns. He explains that the behavior of energy waves is important in biomedicine because vibrational frequencies can alter the physical and chemical properties of an atom. An example of destructive interference would be when a pebble is dropped into water and the waves are moving outward to the right. Shortly after the first pebble is dropped, a second pebble is dropped. Since the pebbles do not hit the water at the same time, the waves will not be aligned; they will be outof-phase. Constructive interference would be similar except that when the second pebble hits the water, the ripples moving outward are in-phase and come together to create higher amplitude of the composite wave. Lipton explains when you want to enhance, rather than stop atoms, you find a vibration that creates harmonic resonance [27].

5. THE COHERENT VIBRATIONS OF THE AXONS' GLYCOCALY-X

Axons have a repeating structure, spaced at fixed intervals, and specifically designed to amplify the signal from the thalamus by activating the gate-channel complex, embedded in the axon's membrane. One particular membrane structure that should give rise to coherent energy waves is the glycocaly-x, or sugar coating, which is composed largely of glycoproteins, or proteoglycans. These include a number of derivatives of chondroitin sulfate and heparan sulfate. All of these structures are inside the myelin sheath of each axon. Glycoproteins have an uncharged protein end, which dissolves in the fatty membrane, and a very negatively charged sugar end, which sticks out into the external fluid, somewhat like a ball on a rubber stick [28]. Bruce Lipton explains that together, these sugars form the bulk of the glycocaly-x. There is a gate/channel complex that changes conformation to briefly allow ions into the axon during a nerve impulse, thus causing the nerve cell to depolarize. It then returns to its original shape when the impulse has passed. When this gate/channel complex in the membrane moves rapidly in response to a nerve impulse, the charged sugar ends that line the axonal membrane should all vibrate back and forth. These glycoproteins are either directly or indirectly connected to the channel protein. As the channel opens and then closes in response to each

impulse, its kinetic energy is transferred to the glycoprotein and to other surrounding structures, which vibrate and emit an electromagnetic wave, until this motion is damped out. Other vibrational modes and frequencies as well as the related electromagnetic waves, result from the movements of charged atoms within the membranes. Lipton says this is roughly analogous to what happens every time we open, then slam a door shut. Some of the kinetic energy is transferred to the surrounding walls, where it vibrates their internal layers, as well as the surface structures [29]. External energy oscillations will undoubtedly affect the structures, combining to create a condition that is both subject to resonation and oscillation, resulting in the crating of a unified whole-brain state.

6. THE ZERO-POINT FIELD AND ENTANGLEMENT

For centuries, the Newtonian perspective that the universe was mechanistic and things works in a comfortable and predictable manner has long been replaced by quantum theories. We understood that subatomic particles were not solid little objects like billiard balls; Einstein taught us that they are vibrating packets of energy that sometimes acted like a particle and sometimes acted like a wave. Heisenberg presented the notion that quantum particles are omnipresent. Quantum physicists discovered a strange property in the subatomic world called nonlocality to describe the properties of what we now call quantum entanglement. This refers to a quantum entity such as an individual electron influencing another quantum particle instantaneously, over any distance, despite there being no exchange of force or energy. It also suggested that quantum particles once in contact, retain a connection even when separated, so that the actions of one will always influence the other, no matter how far they are separated [30].

Pribram presented ideas that the mind and consciousness are not just local events. Rather, they exist as part of a constantly changing fundamental field that he termed the *biofield* [31]. Noted physicist David Bohm based his analysis of the nonlocal field on empirical evidence of quantum theory [32]. Pribram agreed with Bohm's idea that there is an *implicate* order to the universe that pertains to all matter. As it pertains to brainwave activity he proposed that there are holonomic overlapping patches of holographic structures in the cortical surface layers of the brain, which would transform inputs from perception and thoughts into slow electrical potentials presented in EEG brain wave patterns. Bohm also suggested that several different types of fields, each operating at many spatial and temporal frequencies, may well be involved. He said that the characteristics of the particular type of

oscillation would partially determine the range and resolution of the potential information transfer; this has yet to be understood. Quantum fields do not diminish as quickly over distance as electromagnetic fields do in the brain [33].

7. INTRODUCTION TO QUANTUM EFFECTIVENESS

Various correlations exist between their subsystems and the distinguish ability of different quantum states. This is then applied to analyzing quantum information processing. While quantum correlations, or entanglement, are clearly of paramount importance for efficient pure state manipulations, mixed states present a much richer arena and reveal a more subtle interplay between correlations and how to distinguish between them. The current work explores a number of issues related to identifying the important ingredients needed for quantum information processing [34].

We do not generally understand why events we observe around us are correlated in the first place. Correlations themselves are very simply quantified within the framework of Shannon's information theory. Suppose we repeatedly perform measurements on a given system, at different instants of time. Let us record the outcomes of our observations as a sequence. Different sequences of outcomes will naturally have different probabilities associated with them.

Correlations now mean that this probability will most generally not be expressible as a product of probabilities of subsequences. Shannon introduced the notion of mutual information in order to quantify how correlated different observations are [35].

For simplicity, if we divide measurements into two groups, A and B, each of them having a well defined probability distribution, respectively, as well as a joint probability distribution, then the mutual information between A and B is defined. This is the well-known Shannon entropy model. There is a certain degree of subtlety in trying to extend Shannon's mutual information to more than two different sets of outcomes. The concept of mutual information is so general that it can easily be extended to quantum systems [36]. This leads us to the notion of quantum mutual information, which, for a general state and is defined. However, in quantum mechanics, we must discriminate between different forms of correlations, a distinction that has no counterpart in classical information theory.

First of all there is entanglement. Given a bipartite quantum state (where there are two separate entities with substrates), entanglement by the states of the form

are known as separable or disentangled. Entanglement is then most easily quantified by calculating how different this state is to any separable state. This will simply mean that there is a state for system A and a separate state for system B. Shannon says, the more correlated A and B, the more we can learn about one of them by measuring the other. Suppose we make measurements on A. For each measurement there is an outcome occurring with probability. We can also define this quantity by swapping the roles of A and B. It is true that separate states contain correlations over and above just the classical ones. The discrepancy between the two is known as the quantum discord. We will call discord the correlations over and above classical brain states but excluding entanglement [37]. The general picture is this, quantum mutual information in any quantum state, A or B, can be written as a numeric value of entanglement in the state as measured by the relative entropy of entanglement [38] to make it on an equal footing with other entropic measures of correlations. Physically this means that the quantum mutual information measures total correlation in a quantum state.

We might ask, what feature of quantum mechanics makes quantum information processing more efficient than classical resonation? It has been said that auantum entanglement is clearly that feature. The answer seems obvious in the case of pure states. If there is no entanglement during the evolution of pure states, then that evolution can efficiently be simulated by classical systems [39]. But, we should remember that according to our above discussion, pure states contain the same amount of classical correlations as entanglement. Therefore, we might well say that it is classical correlations of resonance in pure brain states that are responsible for the speed-up. And that, the oscillations from entanglement create the quantum effectiveness, which leads to the unified whole-brain state, which in turn can now operate with complete balance and efficiency in all areas, adding to a heightened level of consciousness and cognitive ability.

8. DISCUSSION OF THE WHOLE-BRAIN STATE'S EFFECT ON OUR BELIEF SYSTEM

This discussion should begin with an understanding of our belief system. In Dr. Bruce H. Lipton's bestseller, *The Biology of Belief*, he says, "*Our positive and negative beliefs not only impact our health, but also every aspect of our life.*" He goes on to say, "*Your beliefs act like filters on a camera, changing how you see the world. And your biology adapts to those beliefs.*" [40]

Robert M. Williams M.A., originator of PSYCH-K[®], explains the significance of subconscious belief patterns. Our beliefs, usually subconscious, are the cumulative effect of life-long "programming." As a result of past conditioning, we sometimes think and behave in self-defeating ways. Conscious thoughts can be changed easily by simply receiving information: reading a book, having a conversation, or seeing the results of actions. However, if conscious information were all that was needed to lead satisfying and successful lives, most of us would already be doing that. Unless changes are made at the subconscious level, however, repeating undesired reactions and behaviors will likely continue. Subconscious beliefs have far reaching consequences, both positive and negative, in every aspect of life. They affect our moods, relationships, job performance, self-esteem, and even physical health. It is imperative to know how to change self- limiting beliefs into self-enhancing beliefs that support our goals and aspirations. Williams explains, "PSYCH-K[®] is a mind/body interface process that gives us control over the detrimental effects of past experiences, freeing us from those habitual reactive behaviors that no longer serve us. " [41].

9. QEEG AND QUANTUM EFFECTIVENESS

Our research gathering documented of one hundred twenty-five (125) cases, data gathered over 12 months in three different locations, with different EEG technicians using two different types of EEG equipment; the result of this investigation produced a p-value is ≤ 0.010 .

A baseline of EEG data was established for each case. Using EEG caps calibrated by Electro-Cap International, standard procedure was to inject each of the 10-20 international systems for electrode placement



with standard electro-gel making contact with the scalp and the electrode. Ensuring that the dcoffset voltages were within acceptable range, three (3) baseline readings of five (5) minutes each was recorded; five minutes eyes open, five minutes

eyes closed and five minutes with the brain on task (reading silently in a magazine).

A Certified PSYCH-K[®] Facilitator, used standard PSYCH-K[®] practices to achieve the whole-brain state with the subject. Following the intervention of the PSYCH-K[®] energy *balance*, a post-intervention EEG

was recorded in the same manner as the EEG baseline stated above. Raw EEG data was artifacted to eliminate eye movement, tongue movement, swallowing or other unwanted disturbances in the EEG. Standard EEG artifacting criteria was used and accomplished by an experienced qualified EEG technician. A minimum of 1 minute of artifacted clean data (although data presenting at .90 or above is considered acceptable; standards for artifacted data for this study must meet or exceed .95 in both Split-Half examination and on Test-Retest examination). Statistical analysis was performed by NeuroStat, a function of the NeuroGuide program from Applied Neuroscience. NeuroStat allows for individual independent t-tests to be performed. The following are several examples from the base of 125 cases examined for the whole brain condition. The independent t-test compares condition A to condition B and shows if there are differences in the dominant brain function (consider the discussion of Shannon's method above). In all of the depictions shown here the legend is the same. The RED represents the dominant brainwave pattern prior to the facilitation of the PSYCH-K® energy balance. The BLUE represents the dominant brainwave pattern after the energy balance had been facilitated. The thickness of the line, indicates level of P-factor, see legend below figures.

The whole-brain state is considered to be the combination of RED; condition A, dominance prior to energy balance. And condition B, dominance after the energy balance was facilitated.



Due to the space restriction of this work it is not possible to provide a comprehensive treatment of this work. However, the amount of data collected and the unique properties it represents, afford us the opportunity evaluate and continue to understand what it means and the nature of its potential. As a result of this data, programs are being implemented to significantly improve performance in the areas of academic life, corporate life, health care, as well as sports performance.

Clearly there is more to learn and understand in this robust field of study. More scientific papers are forthcoming to better identify the nature and result of this work, and its relationship to neuroscience. Practical applications of changing subconscious belief patterns have existed for over two decades; today we can measure them and graphically demonstrate their efficacy, lending to further understanding and utilization of this important aspect of human existence in virtually all walks of life.

"In the seventh century, Rene Descartes had the notion that the physical body was made out of matter and the mind was made out of an unidentified, but clearly immaterial substance. Because he could not identify the nature of the mind. Descartes *left behind an irresolvable philosophical conundrum: since only* matter can affect matter, how can an immaterial mind be connected to a material body?" [42].

Only now we begin to understand! -JLF-

References

[1] Thatcher, R.W., & John, E.R. (1977). Functional neuroscience: foundations of cognitive processing. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

[2] James, W., 1890. The Principles of Psychology. Henry Holt & Company, New York.

[3] Efron, E. (1967). The duration of the present. Annals of the New York Academy of Science, 138, 713729. Efron, E. (1970a). The relationship between the duration of a stimulus and the duration of a perception. Neuropsychologia, 8, 37-55.

[3a] Efron, E. (1970b). The minimum duration of a perception. *Neuropsychologia*, 8, 57-63. Ermentrout, G.B., & Kipell, N. (1990). Oscillator death in systems of coupled neural oscillators. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **50**, 125-146.

[4] Thatcher, R.W. (1977a). Evoked potential correlates of hemispheric lateralization during semantic information processing, In S. Harnad (Ed.), *Lateralization of the nervous system*. New York: Academic Press.

[4a] Thatcher, R.W. (197b). Evoked potential correlates of delayed letter matching. *Behavioral Biology*, **19**, 1-23. Thatcher, R.W. (1978). Neuroelectric techniques in clinical neurology. In *The proceedings of the 17th annual San Diego biomedical symposium*. New York: Academic Press.

[5] Thatcher, R.W., & Maisel, E.B. (1979). Functional landscapes of the brain: An electrotopographic per

spective. In: Evoked Brain Potentials and Behavior. H. Begleiter (ed.), Plenum Press.

[5a] Thatcher, R.W. (1980). Spatial synchronization of brain electrical activity related to memory, logic and semantic information processing. In R.F. Thompson (Ed.), *The neurophysiological mechanisms of goal directed behavior and learning*. First Joint Soviet American Symposium in Psychology. New York: Academic Press.

[6] Grossberg, S., & Somers, D. (1991). Synchronized oscillations during cooperative feature linking in a cortical model of visual perception. *Neural Networks*, *4*, 453-466.

[7] Lytton, W.W., & Seijnowski, T.J. (1992). Inhibitory interneurons can rapidly phase-lock neural populations. In

E. Basar & T.H. Bullock (Eds.), Induced rhythms in the brain. (pp. 357-366). Boston: Birkhauser.

[7a] Traub, R.D., Whittington, M.A., Stanford, I.M., & Jeffereys, J.G.R. (1996). A mechanism for generation of long-range synchronous fast oscillations in the cortex. *Nature*, 383, 621-624. von der Malsburg, C., & Schneider, W. (1986). A neural cocktail party processor. *Biological Cybernetics*, 54,29-40.
[8] Thatcher; R.W., Toro, C., Pflieger, M.E., & Hallett, M. (1993). Multimodal registration of EEG, PET and MRI: Analyses of neural network switching. In *Functional MRI of the brain*. Berkeley, CA: Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine.

[8a] Thatcher, R., Wang, B., Toro, C., & Hallett, M. (1994). Human neural network dynamics using multimodal registration of EEG, PET and MRI. In R. Thatcher, M. Hallett, T. Zeffiro, E. John, and M. Huerta (Eds.), *Functional neuroimaging: Technical foundations*. New York: Academic Press.

[9] [9a] Llinas, R., Ribary, U., Contreras, D., & Pedroarena, C. *The neuronal basis for conscionsness,* Department of Physiology and Neuroscience, New York University School of Medicine, 550 First Avenue, New York, NY 10016.

[10] Malsburg, C. vonder (1981). The correlation theory of brain function. Internal report, Max-Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Gottingen, Germany.

Eckhorn, R., Jordan, W., Brosch, M., Kruse, W., Munk, M. & Reitbock, H. J. (1988). Coherent oscillations: a mechanism of feature linking in the visual cortex? *Biol. Cybern.* **60**, 121-130.

Gray, C. M., & Singer, W. (1989). Stimulus-specific neuronal oscillations in orientation columns of cat visual cortex. Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 1698-1702.

Gray, C. M., Konig, P., Engel, A. K., & Singer, W. (1989). Oscillatory responses in cat visual cortex exhibit inter-columnar synchronization which reflects global stimulus properties. *Nature* **338**, 334-337.

Llinas, R., (1990). Intrinsic electrical properties of mammalian neurons and CNS function. In Fidia Research Foundation Neuroscience Award Lectures, vol. 4, pp. 1-10. New York: Raven Press Ltd.

Crick, F. & Koch, C. (1990). Some reflection on visual awareness. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 55, 953-962.

Llinas, R. & Pare, D. (1991). Of dreaming and wakefulness. Neuroscience 44, 521-535.

Llinas, R. R. & Ribary, U. (1992). Rostrocaudal scan in human brain: a global characteristic of the 40-Hz response during sensory input. In *Induced rbythms in the brain* (cd. E. Basar & T. Bullock), pp. 147-154. Boston, MA: Birkhauser.

Llinas, R. & Ribary, U. (1993). Coherent 40-Hz oscillation characterizes dream state in humans. Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 2078-2081.

Singer, W. (1993). Sychronization of cortical activity and its putative role in information processing and learning. A. Rev. Physol. 55, 349-374.

[11] Crick, F. & Koch, C. (1990). Some reflection on visual awareness. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 55, 953-962.

Singer, W. (1993). Sychronization of cortical activity and its putative role in information processing and learning. A. Rev. Physol. 55, 349-374. [12] Llinas, R. & Pare, D. (1991). Of dreaming and wakefulness. Neuroscience 44, 521-535.

Llinas, R. R. & Ribary, U. (1992). Rostrocaudal scan in human brain: a global characteristic of the 40-Hz response during sensory input. In *Induced*

rhythms in the brain (cd. E. Basar & T. Bullock), pp. 147-154. Boston, MA: Birkhauser.

Llinas, R. & Ribary, U. (1993). Coherent 40-Hz oscillation characterizes dream state in humans. Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 2078-2081. [13] Llinas, R. & Pare, D. (1991). Of dreaming and wakefulness. Neuroscience 44, 521-535.

[14] Llinas, R. R., (1988). The intrinsic electrophysiological properties of mammalian neurons; insights into central nervous system function. *Science* **242**, 1654-1664.

[15] Pedrarena, C. & Llinas, R. (1997). Dendritic calcium conductance generate high frequency oscillation in thalamocortical neurons. Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 724-728.

[16] Steriade, M., Curro-Dosi, R., Pare, D. & Oakson, G. (1991). Fast oscillations (20-40 Hz) in thalamocortical system and their potentiation by mespontine cholinergic nuclei in the cat. Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 4396-4400.

[16] Steriade, M., Curro-Dosi, R. & Contreras, D. (1993a). Electrophysiological properties of intralaminar thalamocortical cells discharging rhythmic (40 Hz) spike burst at 1000 Hz during waking and rapid eye movement sleep. *Neuroscience* **56**, 1-9. Steriade, M., Nunez, A. & Amzica, F. (1993b). A novel slow (<1Hz) oscillation of neocortical neurons *in vivo*: depolarizing and hyperpolarizing components. *J. Neurosci.* **13**, 3252-3265.

Steriade, M., Amzica, F. & Contreras, D. (1996a). Synchronization of fast (30-40 Hz) spontaneous cortical rhythms during brain activation. J. Neurosci. 16, 392-417.

Steriade, M., Contreras, D., Amzica, F. & Timofcev, I. (1996b). Synchronization of fast (30-40 Hz) spontaneous oscillations in intrathalamic and thalamocoritical networks. J. Neurosci. 16, 2788-2808.

Pinault, D. & Deschenes, M. (1992). Voltage dependent 40 Hz oscillations in the rat reticular thalamic neurons *in vivo*. *Neuroscience* 51, 245-258. [17] Pedrarena, C. & Llinas, R. (1997). Dendritic calcium conductance generates high frequency oscillation in thalamocortical neurons. *Proc. Natn. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 724-728. [18] Adrain, E. D. & Matthews, R. (1928). The action of light on the cyc. III. The interation of retinal neurons. J. Physiol. 65, 273-298.

Arnett, D. W. (1975). Correlation analysis in the cat dLGN. Expl Brain Res. 24, 111-130.

Ghoose, G. M. & Freeman, R. D. (1992). Oscillatory discharge in the visual system: does it have a functional role? J. Neurophys. 68, 1558-1573. And see [16].

[19] Wilson, J. R., Friedlander, M. J. & Sherman, S. M. (1984). Fine structural morphology of identified X and Y cells in the cat lateral geniculate nuclcus. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **B221**, 411-436.

Liu, X. B., Honda, C. N. & Jones, E. G. (1995). Distribution of four types of synapes on physiologically identified relay neurons in the ventral posterior thalamic nuclus of the cat. J. Comp. Neurol. 352, 69-91.

[20] Steriade M, Deschenes M. The thalamus as a neuronal oscillator. Brain Res. 1984 Nov;320(1):1-63.

[21] Penfield, W. & Rasmussen, T. (1950). The cerebral cortex of man. New York: Macmillian.

[22] Llinas, R., Ribary, D., Contreras, D. & Pedroarena, C. (1998). The neuronal basis for consciousness. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* 353, 1841-1849.

[23] John, E. R., & Killam, K. F. (1959). Electrophysiological correlates of avoidance conditioning in the cat.

Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, 125, 252-268.

John, E. R. (1961). Higher nervous functions: Brain functions and learning. Annual Review of Physiology,

23,451-477.

John, E. R. (1963). Mechanisms of memory. New York: Academic Press.

John, E. R. (1972). Switchboard versus statistical theories of learning and memory. Science, 177, 850864.

John, E. R., & Morgades, P. P. (1969a). Neural correlates of conditioned responses studied with multiple

chronically implanted moving microelectrodes. Experimental Neurology, 23, 412-425.

John, E. R., & Morgades, P. P. (1969b). Patterns and anatomical distribution of evoked potentials and multiple unit activity elicited by conditioned stimuli in trained cats. *Communications in Behavioral Biology*, 3, 181-207.

Ramos, A., Schwartz, E., & John, E. R. (1976a). Evoked potential-unit relationships in behaving cats. Brain Research Bulletin, 1, 69-75.

Ramos, A., Schwartz, E., & John, E. R. (1976b). An examination of the participation of neurons in readout from memory. Brain Research Bulletin, 1, 77-86.

Ramos, A., Schwartz, E., & John, E. R. (1976c). Stable and plastic unit discharge patters during behavioral generalization. Science, 192, 393-396.

[24] Thatcher, R. W., & John, E. R. (1977). Functional neuroscience: foundations of cognitive processing. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

[25] Oschman, J. L. (2000). Chapter 9: Vibrational Medicine. Energy Medicine: The scientific basis. Edinburgh, Harcourt Publishers: 121-137.

[28] Margolis, R. K. & Margolis, R. U. (1993). Nervous Tissue Proteoglycans, Experientia 49, pp. 429-446.

[29] Lipton, B. H. (1986). Liquid Crystal Consciousness, Planetary Society for Clean Energy 5,4.

[30] McTaggart, L. (2008). The Field. New York: Harper-Collins.

[31] Rubik, B. (2002). The biofield hypothesis: Its biophysical basis and role in medicine.

Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine 8,6, pp. 703-717.

[32] Pribram, K. H. (1987). *The Implicate Brain*. In B. J. Hiley & F. D. Peat, Eds., Quantum Implications: Essays in Honour of David Bohm (Rutledge, London, England and Paul Kegan, New York, NY). pp. 365-71. 25.

[33] Bohm, D. B. (1983). Wholeness and the Implicate Order. Page references to Ark Paperback Edition, New York: Cox & Wyman, Reading, England. p. 24.

[34] Vedral, V. (2009). *The elusive source of quantum effectiveness*. Clarendon Laboratory, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, United Kingdom Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543 Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117542.

[35] Shannon, C. (1948). The Bell System Technical Journal 27, 379423, 623656.

[36] Vedral, V. (2002). Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 197.

[37] Jozsa, R. & Linden, N. (2003). Proc. Roy. Soc. series A-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences 459, 2011

[38] Olivier H., & Zurek, V. (2001). Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 017901.

[39] Vedral, V., Plenio, M. B., Rippin, M. & Knight, P. L. (1997). Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2275.

[40] Lipton, B. H. (2005). The biology of belief. Santa Rosa, CA: Mountain of Love/Elite Books. 143.

[41] Williams, R. (2004). PSYCH-K...the missing piece peace in your life. Crestone, CO: Myrddin Publications.

[42] Lipton, B. H. (2005). The biology of belief. Santa Rosa, CA: Mountain of Love/Elite Books. .