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ABSTRACT

A Homeland Protection system is a complex system or, according to a multidisciplinary terminology, a system of systems. Examples of systems of systems are: communication systems, transportation systems, energy grids, border control systems, vessel traffic systems, civilian emergency systems, security systems, etc. A system of systems is made of individual elements with multi-faceted interconnections with each other and with the external environment. Such systems cannot be studied by a simple decomposition into a number of small parts or units, as they present patterns and outcomes, which are not present in individual elements. An advanced security system for Homeland Protection is constituted of a set of sensory elements, enhanced by artificial intelligence, and on human agent/intelligence elements, cooperating with each other. From the examination of some case studies, we demonstrate that a man-machine synergy integrated approach is particularly suited to enhance the security level in Homeland Protection tasks.
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1. INTRODUCTION

An advanced security system [1-4] for homeland protection (Fig. 1) is composed of the following subsystems: a) Data Fusion subsystem, whose function is to collect, merge and process raw data coming from the environment through a sensor subsystem; b) Intelligence subsystem, which processes data and information coming from human agent/intelligence elements; c) Core Processor, based on artificial intelligence engines, relying on Machine and Man-Machine elements; d) Actuator subsystem, which transfers the final decisions and outputs to the external environment.

The system relies on an interdisciplinary (human/machine) approach, which complies with the heterogeneity of the data processed inside the system and the diversity of the information coming from different sources. This paper focuses on a class of novel algorithms, based on the synergy between automated machine learning and human judgment and demonstrates that the application of these algorithms is effective in enhancing the security in Homeland Protection systems.

Fig. 1: Advanced Security System Architecture for Homeland Protection.

2. THE FULLY AUTOMATED PROCESS BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING

The fully automated process (Fig.2) is based on a set of components, or sub-processes, which transform the external physical stimulation, derived from an external signal, into an internal set of transformations, whose final results depend on a decision process. The stimulation caused by the external signal feeds a sensory process, which has the function to filter the signal and to dispatch the results to the machine learning process. This process combines the real-time input with previous instances of the same input, in order to set-up both the decision process and the thresholding process. The sensory process is assumed to produce a continuous output based on the received signal, whose level is proportional to the external stimulation (Signal, representing the presence of an external threat) and the random Gaussian noise (Noise, representing the presence of false alarms). The decision process has to make a final choice (sent as external response for the actuator subsystem), based on the
Signal/Noise ratio (S/N), the threshold level and the system detection sensitivity. The threshold, calculated by the thresholding process, has to be balanced, in order that the Probability of False Alarms (Pfa) and the Probability of Detection (Pd) are those required by the system as a function of its state (e.g. false alarm reduction, low level of attention, standard level of attention, high level of attention, emergency).

### 3. THE MAN-MACHINE BASED PROCESS INCLUDING HUMAN JUDGMENT

The man-machine based process (Fig.3) consists of the same components included in the fully automated process, plus a new component, or sub-process, called Human Judgment. The role of this component is twofold, as it influences both the thresholding process and the decision process. In the fully automated process, the thresholding process has the function to calculate a global threshold, which is used to separate two alternative cases, namely presence of threats and absence of threats/presence of false alarms. The threshold is chosen in order to provide a sufficiently high probability of detection of a threat discovered by the sensor process and validated by the machine learning component. The real-time calculation of the threshold level is performed by the machine learning process, on the basis of the data collected and elaborated over time. The introduction of human judgment in the thresholding process is motivated by the practical observation that real-time situation assessment is strongly dependent from the characteristics of the environment. These characteristics can change in an unpredictable way, so that, in order to build a true model of the environment, the support of a human expert and/or intelligence is paramount. In addition, the human expert can also discriminate, in many cases, between quantity and quality of each potentially discovered threat. The same support has to be introduced in the final decision process, where the role of human information increases with the increase of system complexity.

Fig. 2: Flow diagram of the fully automated process.

### 4. MAN-MACHINE SYNERGY ALGORITHM

The Man-machine Synergy Algorithm is based on a combination of Gaussian signal detection theory on the one hand and decision theory on the other. Taking into account the Gaussian signal detection theory, the sensory process is assumed to have a continuous output based on random Gaussian noise combined with a deterministic signal, when this is present. In our case, the deterministic signal corresponds to a real threat, whose level is generally comparable to a threshold, i.e. likely high enough to require a reaction from the system. The basic variables involved in the detection process are the signal x(t), the Gaussian noise random process, with variance \( \sigma_n^2 \), the threshold \( x_T \), the Probability of Detection (Pd) and the Probability of False Alarms (Pfa). Based on the Neyman-Pearson criterion [5-6], the Probability of False Alarms is defined as the probability that a sample x of the signal x(t) will exceed the threshold \( x_T \) when Gaussian noise alone is present.

\[
P_{fa} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n} \int_{x_T}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2\sigma_n^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x_T}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} \, dy
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{x_T}{\sqrt{2\sigma_n}} \right)
\]

(1)

The Probability of Detection is defined as the probability that a sample x of the signal x(t) will exceed the threshold \( x_T \) when both a deterministic signal A and a random Gaussian noise are present. The whole mechanism is represented in Fig. 4.

\[
P_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n} \int_{x_T}^{\infty} e^{-(x-x_T)^2/2\sigma_n^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x_T-A}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} \, dy
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{x_T-A}{\sqrt{2\sigma_n}} \right)
\]

(2)
In the Man-machine Synergy Algorithm, the choice of the threshold depends on the machine learning output and by the output of the human judgment process. The machine learning process has the function to maintain the threshold level compatible with a limited false alarm rate, i.e. low Probability of False Alarms \( P_{fa} \). On the other hand, human judgment has the function to provide a suitable Probability of Detection \( P_d \), especially in difficult and unpredictable conditions. The synergy of the two processes has been demonstrated to offer the best performance in terms of Probability of False Alarms \( P_{fa} \) and Probability of Detection \( P_d \), independently from the system state. In fact, from direct experience during the operativity of the network, while the machine learning process works better when the system operates in normal conditions, the support of human judgment is decisive in critical situations, such as anomalous conditions or emergencies. According to the above observation, the Man-Machine Synergy Algorithm has to be suitably designed to calculate the threshold \( x_T \) as a function of the system state, the level of the discovered threat and the risk level envisaged for the network. We model the external signal as the combination of a deterministic signal \( S \), which is proportional to the level \( A \) of the threat discovered by the data fusion subsystem and a random Gaussian noise \( N \) present in the network (Signal to Noise ratio = S/N). Any change in the threshold \( x_T \), according to the Neyman-Pearson criterion, has a direct impact on the Probability of False Alarms and on the Probability of Detection. The relation between S/N, which is proportional to the level of the deterministic signal, the Probability of False Alarms \( P_{fa} \) and on the Probability of Detection \( P_d \) is reported in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 4: Probability of False Alarms and Probability of Detection.](image)

![Fig. 5: Relation between S/N (dB), \( P_d \) and \( P_{fa} \)(\( P_{fa} \) values ranging from \( 10^{-2} \) to \( 10^{-12} \)).](image)

The Man-machine Synergy Algorithm also influences the decision process. The decision criterion is mainly based on the result of the thresholding process. However, before taking the final decision, additional parameters have to be added, such as detection sensitivity and payoff matrix. Detection sensitivity represents the confidence level that the decision process can attribute to the thresholding criterion. Sensitivity is constant in a fully automated process and variable from time to time and from task to task when human judgment is involved. The payoff matrix is a cost/benefit tool, which estimates the relative cost of making the two possible types of errors (false alarm and miss detection) and the relative benefit of making the possible two types of correct choices (correct detection and correct rejection). The elements of this matrix (Tab.1), which are predefined in a fully automated process, depend also on human judgment in the man-machine synergy algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal Present</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hit Rate (HR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Rate (MR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Absent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Alarm Rate (FAR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Rejection Rate (CRR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1: Payoff Matrix parameters.

5. CASE STUDY 1: STEADY STATE

Let us assume that the system is in a steady state (i.e. standard level of attention), with \( n_{fa} = 10 \), corresponding to \( P_{fa} = 10^{-n_{fa}} = 10^{-10} \). This state corresponds to a normalized threshold of 6.3 (Fig.6). If, at the same time, the S/N required to detect a threat is 15 dB, the resulting \( P_d \) will be set up at 95% (Fig.7). In this case, the determination of the threshold will be taken mainly by the machine learning
process, as both the $P_d$ and the $P_{fa}$ are adequate to manage the occurrence of real alarms, in case of a discovered threat, and of false alarms, in case of absence of threats. With regard to the payoff matrix in the decision process, the goal is to highlight both the hit rate and the correct rejection rate (Tab.2), while keeping both the miss rate and the false alarm rate at low levels. As a whole, the man-machine based process works in the same way as the fully automated process, as there is no substantial intervention by means of human judgment.

6. CASE STUDY 2: EMERGENCY STATE

When the system is in the emergency state, $nfa$ is sensibly lower than usual, i.e. the probability of false alarms is higher ($nfa=6$, corresponding to $P_{fa}=10^{-6}$, see Fig.8), in order to achieve a better performance in terms of probability of detection. This state corresponds to a normalized threshold from 4.7 to 3.7 (see initial threshold in Fig.8). As a consequence of this modification, the value of $nfa$ will change into 4, corresponding to $P_{fa}=10^{-4}$, while $P_d$ will increase to a level over 95%, which is adequate for the considered state.

With regard to the payoff matrix in the decision process (Tab.3), human intervention is beneficial to increase the hit rate and keep the miss rate as low as possible, at the expense of some increase of the false alarm rate, while improving the correct rejection rate.
### 7. CASE STUDY 3: FALSE ALARM REDUCTION

When the system is in the “false alarm reduction” state, the probability of false alarms is at medium level (nfa= 8, corresponding to \( P_{fa} = 10^{-8} \), see Fig.10), in order to prevent the system from false alarms. This state corresponds to a normalized threshold of 5.6 (see initial threshold in Fig.10). If, the S/N required to detect a threat is, for example, 15 dB, the resulting \( P_{d} \) will be higher than 98% (Fig.11). As the main problem in this state is not threat detection but false alarm reduction, the man-machine synergy algorithm can increase the threshold level to 7, in order to decrease \( P_{fa} \) to \( 10^{-12} \) (see Fig.11). After this change, \( P_{d} \) will go down to a level around 80%, which can be acceptable in this state, as the risk connected to the occurrence of an attack has been considered very low, while the main goal is to reduce false alarms. With regard to the payoff matrix in the decision process (Tab.4), human intervention is beneficial to reduce the false alarm rate at the expense of decreasing the hit rate. As a consequence of this change, there will be some increase of the correct detection rate, together with a possible increase of the miss rate.

### 8. CONCLUSIONS

This paper describes a novel integrated man-machine approach for Homeland Protection, based on the synergy between automated machine learning and human judgment. This approach relies on the general concepts of detection theory processes and decision processes and on the balanced synergy between all the above processes. The man-machine synergy algorithms merge the Gaussian signal detection theory on one hand and the decision theory on the other. Taking into account the Gaussian signal detection theory, the sensory process is assumed to have a continuous output based on random Gaussian noise combined with a deterministic signal. The decision process is based on detection sensitivity and payoff matrix. Detection sensitivity represents the confidence level that the decision process can attribute to the thresholding criterion. The payoff matrix is a cost/benefit tool, which estimates the relative cost of making the two possible types of errors (false alarm and miss detection) and the relative benefit of making the possible two types of correct choices (correct detection and correct rejection). From the examination of some case studies, we demonstrate that the proposed approach, applied to an architecture including sensory elements, artificial intelligence and human agent/intelligence elements, is particularly suited to enhance the security level in Homeland Protection tasks.
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