Submission of Manuscripts for Review

Article submissions are a two steps process. The first step is for the submission of an extended abstract for its internal review. If the abstract is accepted then a full paper draft (2000-5000 words) should be submitted for its external review via two-tier reviewing which includes non-blind and double-blind reviewing. More details regarding a) our two-tier reviewing methodology can be found in the menu option “Editorial Peer Review methodology” or at http://www.iiisci.org/journal/SCI/PeerReviewMeth.asp?var; b) the submission/reviewing process can be found at the menu option “Submission of Articles” or at http://www.iiisci.org/journal/SCI/ProcSendPaper.asp?var.

Citations of web pages

As cited URLs tend to disappear months or years after citing online material, authors submitting articles to JSCI are encouraged to use http://www.webcitation.org to permanently archive all cited web pages and websites, and to cite the archived copy in addition to the original link. This is recommended for submissions made for their respective evaluation and strongly recommended for the final versions of the accepted articles. This would take about 20 second per each cited web page. Please go to www.webcitation.org (or more directly to http://www.webcitation.org/archive.php) and enter the URL you want to cite. The system will take a "snapshot" of the webpage or online document (e.g. PDF) so that it will remain available for future readers. WebCite will also give you detailed instructions on how to cite the web reference. Electronic journal articles or other material do not have to be archived with WebCite if they carry a DOI, but all other material which might disappear in the future should be archived first by the citing author.

Papers published up to volume 10 had been permanently archived via http://www.webcitation.org/archive.php. By January 31st, 2016 all published articles will be archived and future publication will also be archived as soon as the issue is published.

Example for Citing a Web Reference


Copyright Information

A non-exclusive copyright should be granted to the publisher before the article can be published. Authors can re-publish their respective article by just citing the original publication in JSCI. It is understood that published articles are not to be submitted and/or re-published in other journals.
without alerting the respective editor about the previous publication and referencing the publication in JSCI.

The copyright granted to the publisher will allow the potential publication of the respective articles via other means: new printings, re-edition of the respective issue, multiple author books, group blogs related to JSCI articles, etc.

It is understood that the content of papers submitted for their respective review have been neither submitted to other publication, nor published in, another journal. Simultaneous submission to JSCI and other publication(s) is considered a serious breach of ethics and, if it is detected, will have the effect of immediate rejection of the submission, without excluding other actions that might be taken.

Papers previously published in preprints, internal publications, proceedings, etc. are eligible for their reviews by JSCI, as long as they have gone through revisions, the editor-in-chief has been explicitly informed and possible copyright clearances have been obtained by the paper author.

The author is responsible for obtaining corporate and security clearances and copyright releases prior to submitting material for its consideration or reviewing. It is JSCI’s policy to assume all clearances are granted and possible copyrights are transferred when a paper is submitted for its review.

Disclaimer

The Editors and the Publisher of the International Journal of Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics, are not responsible for the scientific content, opinions, and statements of the authors of accepted papers. Published papers might be removed, with no refund for the respective Article Processing Fee, if non-ethical behavior is identified after the article has been published. Examples of non-ethical behavior are plagiarism, scientific fraud, copyright or patent infringement, and any other scientific, academic, or professional misconduct.

Publication Ethics

*Ethical behavior is expected from the authors. They should comply, at least, with the International Ethical Standards established by the Committee on Publication Ethics: COPE.*

These standards are summarized below.

**International Standards for Editors According to COPE**

- Editors are accountable and should take responsibility for everything they publish.
- Editors should make fair and unbiased decisions independent from commercial consideration and ensure a fair and appropriate peer review process.
- Editors should adopt editorial policies that encourage maximum transparency and complete, honest reporting. Editors should guard the integrity of the published record by issuing corrections and retractions when needed and pursuing suspected or alleged research and publication misconduct.
- Editors should pursue reviewer and editorial misconduct.
- Editors should critically assess the ethical conduct of studies in humans and animals.
- Peer reviewers and authors should be told what is expected of them.
Editors should have appropriate policies in place for handling editorial conflicts of interest.

International Standards for Authors According to COPE

- The research being reported should have been conducted in an ethical and responsible manner and should comply with all relevant legislation.
- Researchers should present their results clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification or inappropriate data manipulation.
- Researchers should strive to describe their methods clearly and unambiguously so that their findings can be confirmed by others. Researchers should adhere to publication requirements that submitted work is original, is not plagiarised, and has not been published elsewhere.
- Authors should take collective responsibility for submitted and published work.
- The authorship of research publications should accurately reflect individuals’ contributions to the work and its reporting.
- Funding sources and relevant conflicts of interest should be disclosed.